The use of the exponential function to predict surface subsidence due to underground mining
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Abstract

Underground mining often causes negative effects such as subsidence on the mine surface. The impact of underground extraction activity is even more dangerous for the mine under the residential area. Surface subsidence from underground mining activity is a problem in most countries. With the purpose of reducing the harm and warning of underground mining impacts to surface, many subsidence forecasting methods have been developed. In this paper the authors introduce the theory of exponential function and its application in forecasting the surface deformation due to underground mining in Thong Nhat coal mine. Exponential function parameters are evaluated for 4 stations showing maximum RMSE of 62.5 mm. The maximum difference between predicted subsidence using these parameters and measured value is -84.5 mm, equivalently to 5.3%.
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1. Introduction

Consequences of underground is creating workings in the ground, rock lost equilibrium and tends to move to obtain new equilibrium, the movement spreading to the mine surface causes the formation of surface subsidence trough. The prediction of the consequences of mining is an important task for the mine surveyors. The prediction of surface subsidence enables to efficiently repair the mining damage and has a positive impact on the economic results of mining.

Subsidence causes damage in different objects on the surface. Thus, the preliminary aim of mine surveyors is estimating the impact of underground mining on surface above mines. They started to measure the subsidence of points on the mine surface, in order to be able to control the subsidence process and to reduce the damages caused by the underground excavation activity.

Several prediction methods have been developed such as empirical prediction methods, model prediction methods and prediction methods based on influential functions (T. Ambrožič & Turk, 2003). Each method has its own advantages and disadvantages and conditions for individual applications. However, empirical prediction methods have high reliability because of building from the surveying data.

2. Subsidence prediction using exponential function

The exponential function can be used to describe the process of subsidence such that the subsidence value of points are defined by the equation (1) (Edward Popiolek & Gren, 1990):

$$\eta(t_i) = \eta_0(1 - e^{-c_i})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where, \( \eta_0 \) is total value of subsidence at t time, c is time parameter, \( t_i \) is time of \( i^{th} \) stage monitoring from the first stage. It can be seen that when \( t = \infty \) then \( \eta_0 = \eta_k = \eta_{\text{max}} \). The form of the equation (1) is shown in Fig. 1.

With the number of observation stages greater than 3, the parameters \( \eta_k \) and \( \eta_{\text{max}} \) can be determined by the leastsquare principle. The error equation of observations can be written as follows:

$$V_i = \eta_k(1 - e^{-c_i}) - \eta_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

If the subsidence values are observed with the same accuracy, the parameters a and b are solved with the condition of least square \([VV] = \text{min}\). The approximate value of \( \eta_k(\eta_0) \) is assigned by subsidence value of the last monitoring stage, and the approximate value of \( c(c_0) \) is assigned by 0.1.

The equation of error (2) expanded by bilinear transformation is expressed as (Chinh, 1997):
\[ V_i = A_i \cdot d\eta + B_i \cdot dc - L_i \]  

where,

\[ A_i = (1 - e^{-c \cdot \delta i}) \]

\[ B_i = \eta_0 \cdot t \cdot e^{-c \cdot \delta i} \]

\[ L_i = \eta_i - \eta_0 (1 - e^{-c \cdot \delta i}) \]

The error equation (3) can be expressed by matrix form as below:

\[ V = A \cdot X - L \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_1 \\
V_2 \\
\vdots \\
V_n \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_1 & B_1 \\
A_2 & B_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
A_n & B_n \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
d\eta \\
dc \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ L = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \\ \vdots \\ L_n \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The system of normal equations as follow:

\[ A^T \cdot AX = A^T \cdot L \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Solving the system of normal equations obtained vector \( X \), the best probability values of \( \eta \) and \( c \) are calculated as below:

\[ \eta = \eta_0 + d\eta \]

\[ c = c_0 + dc \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The parameters \( \eta_k \) and \( c \) show the subsidence rule, the subsidence of points at the time \( t_k = t_n + \Delta t \) can be predicted (\( t_n \) is the time at the last monitoring stage). Subsidence value at \( t_k \) is computed as follow:

\[ \eta_k = \eta_k (1 - e^{-c \cdot \delta_k}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

3. Experiment data

Data is collected from the Lo Tri monitoring station in Thong Nhat coal mine which was designed for the purpose of mining plan the 2nd coal layer. The station includes 5 routes, routes A, B and C in the deep direction, routes T and P in the strike direction. Each route of 400 to 500 m long includes 30 to 40 points. Distance of each point is about 10 to 20 m. 11 stages were measured by Ni 030 instrument with levelling staff. The period of two successive stage is about 3 months. (Elevation) loop closing error of each route less than $15\sqrt{L}$ (mm)(Long, 2010).

Experimental data obtained from observation points belong to route P, selected points which using for calculation of the exponential function parameters are No.15, No.20, No.25 and No.30.
The data measured subsidence of these points as shown in Table 1, subsidence graph is shown in Figure 2.

Table 1. The data of subsidence measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (month)</th>
<th>Subsidence Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Point No 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>-50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>-81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-162.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>-264.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>-349.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>-465.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>-613.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.2. Subsidence Values by empirical measurement
4. Calculation of exponential parameters and applying them for subsidence prediction

The exponential function parameters \( \eta \) and \( c \) are calculated using the equations from (3) to (8) which are then shown in table 2. For the evaluation of precision the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) in equation (10) was used.

![Table 2. Results of exponential function parameters computation](image)

The accuracy of prediction model is assessed by Root Mean Square Error:

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}
\]

where,

- \( \eta_i \) is subsidence experimental value of point \( i \);
- \( \hat{\eta}_i \) is subsidence experimental value of point \( i \).

The RMSE between the values computed using exponential function parameters and those of experiment range between 16.9 mm and 62.8 mm. It is obvious that the above mentioned RMSE varies among the cases, and some filters should be done in advance so that the data denote the most general subsidence trend and existed outliers are eliminated.

![Table 3. Comparison of subsidence values by empirical measurement with values computed by exponential function](image)
Applying parameters $\eta_k$ and $c$ to predict the subsidence of 4 above points at 30th month, results of predicted subsidence are shown in table 4. Compare them to the measured data, the largest and smallest difference are 38.1 mm at point No 30 and -84.5 mm at point No 20, equivalently to 5.3% and 5.8% of measured subsidence value. The point measured subsidence and its corresponding predicted value by exponential function are shown in figure 3.

Table 4. Results of subsidence prediction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point ID</th>
<th>time (month)</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Prediction</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-613.0</td>
<td>-686.3</td>
<td>-73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-1450.0</td>
<td>-1534.5</td>
<td>-84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-1183.0</td>
<td>-1161.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-714.0</td>
<td>-675.9</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.3. Comparison of subsidence values by empirical measurements and by exponential function

5. Conclusions

The process of point subsidence includes four stages: preparation of moving; starting moving; strong moving and ending moving. Therefore, the measurement data from starting moving stage should be used for parameters calculation of the exponential function.

The small RMSE deviation between the model prediction and experiment is able to conclude that the exponential is suitable for surface subsidence prediction due to underground mining.
The results are only evaluated the accuracy of subsidence prediction the points located in the center area of excavation chamber, studying the rule of subsidence of points around the trough boundary should be continue to get overall assessment of the applicability of exponential function.

References